
Galois Theory of Hopf–Galois Extensions

D. Marciniak

DOROFIA@GMAIL.COM

M. Szamotulski

MSZAMOT@GMAIL.COM

June 7, 2011

Abstract

We introduce Galois Theory for Hopf–Galois Extensions proving existence of a Galois connection between subalgebras of an H -comodule algebra and generalised quotients of the Hopf algebra H . Moreover, we show that these quotients Q which define Q -Galois extension are the closed elements of our Galois connection. We discuss the important problem of existence of a bijective correspondence between right ideals coideals and right coideal subalgebras of a Hopf algebra. For cleft extensions we characterise closed elements of the Galois connection as Hopf–Galois extensions. We describe the relation of our results to the work of F. van Oystaeyen, Y. Zhang and also to the results of P. Schauenburg on biGalois extensions.

We present a construction of a Galois connection between the complete lattice of subalgebras of an H -comodule algebra A and the complete lattice of generalised quotients of a Hopf algebra H (quotients by coideals right ideals):

Theorem (Galois Theory for H -comodule algebras). *For an H -comodule algebra A over a field k there exists a Galois connection (Definition 1.2):*

$$\text{Sub}_{\text{alg}}(A/A^{\text{co}H}) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{A^{\text{co}Q}} \end{array} \text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H) \quad (1)$$

where $\text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H) = \{H/I : I \text{ - coideal right ideal of } H\}$.

For short we will call this theorem ‘Galois Theory’. It is a core of a Galois Theory and connects interesting areas: classical *Galois Theory for field extensions*, *Hopf–Galois Theory*, which can be now formulated as a Galois Theory, and *Lattice Theory*. First important conclusion of this result is a bijection between closed elements, i.e. elements which belong to the image of a Galois connection. On the left hand side, closed elements are the extensions of the form: $A/A^{\text{co}Q}$ for some $Q \in \text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H)$ (which we call H -subextensions). Our aim is to describe closed elements of the right hand side. This will answer the question: which quotients of H classifies the extensions of the form $A/A^{\text{co}Q}$? In Proposition 4.2 we show that if Q is such that $A^{\text{co}Q} \subseteq A$ is Q -Galois (Definition 3.4), then Q is closed. From both ?, Remark 1.2 and Proposition 4.2 it follows that if $B \in \text{Sub}_{\text{alg}}(A/A^{\text{co}H})$ and $Q \in \text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H)$ are such that:

1. $B \subseteq A^{\text{co}Q}$, and $\text{can} : A \otimes_B A \rightarrow A \otimes Q$ is bijective,
2. A is right or left faithfully flat over B ,

then B and Q are the corresponding closed elements.

We note that, the above Theorem can be extended to the case of C -comodule algebras where C is a coalgebra with a group-like element.

We provide all the ingredients of the theory, namely: we prove that the inclusion relation on the set of right ideals coideals defines a complete lattice structure. We show that the set of subobjects, i.e. sub-Hopf algebras and more generally subalgebras right coideals¹ of a Hopf algebra form a complete lattice. When the Hopf algebra is finite dimensional then all the lattices are algebraic and dually algebraic (Definition 1.5).

Furthermore, we prove that in the case of the H -extension $k \subseteq H$ and *cleft extensions* $Q \in \text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H)$ is closed if and only if $A/A^{\text{co}Q}$ is Q -Galois (Proposition 6.7 and Theorem 7.4). Thus in this case, the generalised quotients $Q \in \text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H)$ which are Q -Galois classifies H -subextensions.

This subject was already investigated in ?? and ? but lack of explicit formulas in terms of Hopf algebra structures led to difficulties to define the Galois connection for the generality that we are dealing with. To overcome these difficulties we use a new approach. We use Lattice Theory which provides an explicit formula for a Galois connections between complete lattices in terms of the poset structures (see (11)).

Let us briefly sketch other results that we prove. In finite dimensional case we show the following

Theorem (Finite Hopf–Galois Theory). *Let H be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra and $A/A^{\text{co}H}$ an H -Hopf–Galois extension. Then the Galois connection (1) restricts to an isomorphism:*

$$\text{Sub}_{H\text{-ext}}(A/A^{\text{co}H}) \simeq \text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H)$$

where the left hand side is the lattice of all H -extensions, i.e. the extensions of the form $A/A^{\text{co}Q}$ for some $Q \in \text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H)$.

Thus the lattice $\text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H)$ classifies intermediate H -extensions of an H -Galois extension $A/A^{\text{co}H}$.

From our Galois Theory we derive the Chase–Sweedler Theorem which lives on the crossroads of Galois Theory for field extensions and Hopf–Galois Theory. Also generalisation of Chase–Sweedler Theorem by ?, Theorem 2.3 to the case of a noncommutative H -module algebra A such that A is H^* -Hopf–Galois over a finite dimensional Hopf algebra H follows from our theorem for finite Hopf–Galois extensions.

Next we apply our Galois Theory to the H -extension $k \subseteq H$. In this case our Galois connection specifies to the following Galois correspondence (we present here the left comodule version rather than right one as above):

$$\left\{ K \subseteq H : K\text{-right coideal subalgebra} \right\} \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\psi} \\ \xleftarrow{\phi} \end{matrix} \left\{ H/I : I\text{-left ideal coideal} \right\} \quad (2)$$

$$\psi(K) = H/HK^+, \quad \phi(H/I) := {}^{\text{co}}H/IH$$

It was shown by ?, Theorem 3 in commutative case and then proved by A. Masuoka in the non-commutative setting [?, Theorem 1.11] that there is the following bijective correspondence:

$$\left\{ K \subseteq H : \begin{matrix} K\text{-right coideal subalgebra,} \\ H \text{ faithfully flat over } K \end{matrix} \right\} \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\psi} \\ \xleftarrow{\phi} \end{matrix} \left\{ H/I : \begin{matrix} I\text{-left ideal coideal,} \\ H \text{ faithfully coflat} \\ \text{over } H/I \end{matrix} \right\} \quad (3)$$

Additionally H.-J. Schneider proved that the above bijection restricts to normal/conormal elements:

$$\left\{ K \subseteq H : \begin{matrix} K\text{-normal sub-Hopf algebra,} \\ H \text{ faithfully flat over } K \end{matrix} \right\} \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\psi} \\ \xleftarrow{\phi} \end{matrix} \left\{ H/I : \begin{matrix} I\text{-normal Hopf ideal,} \\ H \text{ faithfully coflat} \\ \text{over } H/I \end{matrix} \right\} \quad (4)$$

¹Provided lattice theoretic results can be easily extended to the case of subalgebras left coideals and other mixed sub/quotient structures.

In this case we can sharpen our Galois Theory:

Theorem. *Let H be a Hopf algebra. Then $Q \in \text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H)$ is closed element of the Galois connection (1) for the H -extension H/k if and only if $H/H^{\text{co}Q}$ is Q -Galois.*

There is the question of S. Montgomery if the bijective correspondences (3) and (4) still survive if we don't assume faithfully flat/coflat conditions [see ?]. There is a positive answer to this question in the case of finite dimensional Hopf algebras (Theorem 6.6) due to ?, Corollary 6.5, who showed that H a free module over any right coideal subalgebra, extending the Nichols–Zoeller Theorem. Our results allow for a reformulation of the infinite dimensional case:

Proposition. *Let H be a Hopf algebra. Then there is a bijective correspondence:*

$$\left\{ K \subseteq H : K \text{ – right coideal subalgebra} \right\} \xleftrightarrow{\cong} \left\{ H/I : I \text{ – left ideal coideal} \right\} \quad (5)$$

if and only if

1. for every its generalised quotient Q the extension ${}^{\text{co}Q}H \subseteq H$ is Q -Galois
2. ${}^{\text{co}H/K^+}H \subseteq K$ for every right coideal subalgebra K of H .

Note that if H is faithfully flat over K then by [?, Remark 1.2] ${}^{\text{co}H/K^+}H = K$. The correspondence (5) can be intuitively understood as follows: let $G = \text{Spec}(H)$ be an affine group scheme. The set (of isomorphism classes) of transitive G -sets is in bijection with subgroups of G . Right coideal subalgebras generalises transitive G -sets (in commutative case these are affine quotients of $\text{Spec}(H)$ which poses the natural action of $\text{Spec}(H)$). On the other side, subgroups correspond to quotients of the Hopf algebra H (but we go beyond affine quotients as a general quotient might not be an algebra).

F. van Oystaeyen and Y. Zhang in ? prove a noncommutative generalisation of the Chase–Sweedler theorem. In their paper for the first time appear a remarkable construction of an additional Hopf algebra. They construct a Hopf algebra $L(H, A)$ associated to a commutative faithfully flat H -Hopf–Galois extension A/B (H is also assumed to be commutative). The extension A/B becomes $L(H, A)$ - H -bicomodule algebra and a biGalois extension. This additional structure Hopf algebra $L(H, A)$ classifies intermediate H -comodule subalgebras of A/B . Furthermore, when $A/B = \mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F}$ is a field extension they prove the following Galois theorem:

Theorem (?, Theorem 4.7). *Let $k \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ be a field extension and let H be a commutative and cocommutative k -Hopf algebra. Let $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ be a field extension and an H -Hopf–Galois extension. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence:*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Hopf ideals of} \\ \mathbb{F} \otimes_k H \end{array} \right\} \simeq \left\{ \begin{array}{c} H\text{-subcomodule} \\ \text{subfields of } \mathbb{E} \end{array} \right\} \quad (6)$$

if I – a Hopf ideal, and \mathbb{M} – an intermediate field extension of \mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F} , correspond to each other then

$$\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{M} \text{ is } \mathbb{F} \otimes_k (H/I)\text{-Hopf–Galois.}$$

Moreover, there is the following bijection:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Hopf subalgebras of} \\ \mathbb{F} \otimes_k H \end{array} \right\} \simeq \left\{ \begin{array}{c} H\text{-subcomodule} \\ \text{subfields of } \mathbb{E} \end{array} \right\} \quad (7)$$

Furthermore, if H' and \mathbb{M} correspond to each other then

$$\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{M} \text{ is } (\mathbb{F} \otimes_k H)/(\mathbb{F} \otimes_k H)H'^+\text{-Hopf–Galois}$$

where $H'^+ := \ker \epsilon \cap H'$.

When H is commutative and cocommutative then the Hopf algebra $L(H, A)$ is equal to $\mathbb{F} \otimes_k H$ [?, Corollary 3.4]. The proof of the previous theorem is based on this fact, so that $L(H, A)$ plays an

essential role. ? generalises the construction of $L(H, A)$ to noncommutative extensions of rings $k \subseteq A$ over noncommutative (and noncocommutative) Hopf algebras. In his work P. Schauenburg proves the following theorem which is an extension of the preceding result of F. van Oystaeyen and Y. Zhang.

Theorem (? , Theorem 6.4). *Let $k \subseteq A$ be a faithfully flat H -Hopf-Galois extension of a ring k . Then there is the following Galois connection:*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{coideals left ideals} \\ \text{of } L(H, A) \end{array} \right\} \iff \left\{ \begin{array}{l} H\text{-subcomodule} \\ \text{algebras of } A \end{array} \right\} \quad (8)$$

If $B \in \text{Sub}_{\text{alg}^H}(A)$ is an H -subcomodule algebra such that A_B is a faithfully projective then it is a closed element of (8). The closed elements of the left hand side are the coideals left ideals which are k direct summands of $L(H, A)$. Furthermore, if A is a skew field then the Galois connection (8) is an isomorphism. Another result of this type is given in [?, Theorem 3.6] where P. Schauenburg shows that the above Galois connection is a bijection on the set of (left, right) admissible objects. Where (right, left) admissibility is the (right, left) faithfully flat/coflat condition (Definition 8.2). In this paper we show a similar statement for the Galois connection (1). We show that the map $Q \mapsto A^{co Q}$ of the Galois connection (1) is injective on the subset of (right, left) admissible objects provided $A/A^{co H}$ is a faithfully flat H -Hopf-Galois extension. The main result of this section is Corollary 8.5 in which we conclude that (left, right) admissible quotients of $L(A, H)$ and H classifies the same subalgebras of a k -algebra A .

We shall remark, that the Galois correspondences between posets of generalised quotients of $L(H, A)$ and subalgebras of A is a special case of our Galois Theory.

1 Preliminaries

Definition 1.1. *Partially ordered set, poset for short, is a set P together with an order relation \preceq which is reflexive, transitive and antisymmetric.*

Definition 1.2 (Galois connection). *Let (P, \preceq) and (Q, \leq) be two partially ordered sets. Antimonotonic morphisms of posets $\phi : P \longrightarrow Q$ and $\psi : Q \longrightarrow P$ establishes a Galois connection if*

$$\forall_{p \in P} p \preceq \psi \circ \phi(p) \text{ and } \forall_{q \in Q} q \leq \phi \circ \psi(q) \quad (9)$$

We refer to this property as the Galois property. An element of P (or Q) will be called closed if it is invariant under $\psi\phi$ ($\phi\psi$ respectively). Sets of closed elements will be denoted by \overline{P} and \overline{Q} . Another name which appear in the literature for this notion is Galois correspondence.

Proposition 1.3. *Let $P \xrightleftharpoons[\psi]{\phi} Q$ be a Galois connection. Then the following holds:*

1. $\overline{P} = \psi(Q)$ and $\overline{Q} = \phi(P)$
2. The restrictions $\phi|_{\overline{P}}$ and $\psi|_{\overline{Q}}$ are inverse bijections of \overline{P} and \overline{Q} .
3. Map ϕ is unique in the sense that there exists only one Galois connection of the form $(\tilde{\phi}, \psi)$ for some map $\tilde{\phi} : P \longrightarrow Q$, i.e. $\tilde{\phi} = \phi$. A similar statement holds for ψ .
4. The map ϕ is mono (onto) if and only if the map ψ is onto (mono).
5. If one of the two maps is an isomorphism then the second is its inverse.

A lattice is a poset in which there exists supremum and infimum of any two elementary subset or equivalently of any finite subset. A lattice can also be defined as an algebraic structure which has two binary operations: join (an abstract supremum of two elements) denoted by \vee and meet (an abstract infimum of two elements) denoted by \wedge . We refer the reader to ? for the theory of lattices.

Definition. A lattice (L, \vee, \wedge) is complete if for every $B \subseteq L$ there exists $\sup B$ and $\inf B$.

In a lattice L there exists arbitrary infima if and only if there are arbitrary suprema.

Definition 1.4. An element z of a lattice L is called compact if for any subset $S \subseteq L$ such that $z \leq \bigvee S$ there exists a finite subset S_f of S with the property $z \leq \bigvee S_f$.

Definition 1.5. A lattice is algebraic if it is complete and every its element is a supremum of compact elements. A lattice is dually algebraic if its dual, i.e. the one with the dual order, is algebraic.

It is a well known theorem of Universal Algebra that lattices of subalgebras and lattices of congruences (quotient structures) of any algebraic structure are algebraic. In particular, the lattices of sub-objects and quotient objects of classical algebraic structures like groups, semi-groups, rings, modules, etc. are algebraic.

2 Lattices of substructures and quotient structures

Proposition 2.1. Let (C, Δ, ϵ) be a coalgebra, $(B, m, u, \Delta, \epsilon)$ a bialgebra and $(H, m, u, \Delta, \epsilon, S)$ a Hopf algebra, all over a field k . Then subcoalgebras of C – $(\text{Sub}(C), \subseteq)$, subbialgebras of B – $(\text{Sub}_{bi}(B), \subseteq)$ and sub-Hopf algebras of a Hopf algebra H – $(\text{Sub}_{\text{Hopf}}(H), \subseteq)$ are complete lattices which additionally are algebraic and dually algebraic when C, B, H are finitely dimensional.

The proof is straightforward and will be omitted. In finite dimensional case the lattices are algebraic and dually algebraic since every element of a lattice of subspaces of a finite dimensional vector space is compact.

Let C be a coalgebra. Let us introduce standard notation: we let $\text{cold}(C)$ denote the set of coideals of C , and $\text{cold}_l(C)$, $\text{cold}_r(C)$ – the sets of left, respectively right, coideals of C . They form complete lattice with respect to the inclusion. If C is finite dimensional these lattices are algebraic.

One can ‘cogenerate’ a coideal by a subset Y of a coalgebra C . This is defined as a join of all the coideals contained in Y , i.e. it is the largest coideal contained in Y . We use this notion to define the meet operation in the poset of coideals. This is dual to the case of algebras where the join is defined as the ideal generated by the set-theoretic sum.

Lemma 2.2. Let C be a coalgebra and I_1, I_2 two coideals. Then we have the following formulas for meet and join in the lattice of coideals of a coalgebra C :

$$I_1 \vee I_2 = I_1 + I_2, \quad I_1 \wedge I_2 = +\{I \in \text{cold}(C) : I \subseteq I_1 \cap I_2\}.$$

The proof is straightforward and is left to the reader. As a direct consequence we get that for a bialgebra B be or a Hopf algebra H the posets $(\text{Id}_{bi}(B), \subseteq)$, $(\text{Id}_{\text{Hopf}}(H), \subseteq)$ are complete lattices which in finite dimensional case are algebraic and dually algebraic.

Let C be a coalgebra, B a bialgebra and H a Hopf algebra. Then we let use the following notation: $\text{Quot}(C) = \{C/I \mid I \text{ is a coideal}\}$, $\text{Quot}(B) = \{B/I \mid I \text{ is a biideal}\}$, $\text{Quot}(H) = \{H/I \mid I \text{ is a Hopf ideal}\}$. We define $\text{Quot}_{gen}(H)$ as the set of all quotients of H by coideal right ideal (quotient as a coalgebra and a right H -module).

$$\text{Quot}_{gen}(H) := \{H/I : I \text{ coideal right ideal}\}$$

As a poset it is dually isomorphic to the poset of right ideals coideals of H .

Proposition 2.3. The poset $(\text{Quot}_{gen}(H), \supseteq)$ is a complete lattice. When H is finite dimensional then this lattice is algebraic and dually algebraic.

3 Galois connection in Hopf–Galois theory

In this section we prove Galois Theory: existence of a Galois connection between lattice of subalgebras and generalised quotients of a Hopf algebra in the case of comodule algebras. In general we do not assume that H is finite dimensional.

Definition 3.1. Let $B \subseteq A$ be an extension of algebras then by $\text{Sub}_{\text{alg}}(B \subseteq A)$ we denote the lattice of all subalgebras of A which contains B .

The defined lattice is an interval in the algebraic lattice of subalgebras of A .

Lemma 3.2. The lattice $\text{Sub}_{\text{alg}}(B \subseteq A)$ is an algebraic lattice.

The shortest argument is that $\text{Sub}_{\text{alg}}(B \subseteq A)$ is a lattice of algebras of suitably defined algebra (in the sense of Universal Algebra, which has operations of the algebra A and operations which comes from B -module structure). Every lattice of subalgebra of an algebra (in the sense of Universal Algebra) is algebraic, thus the lemma follows.

Definition 3.3. Let H be a Hopf algebra, and A an algebra. A is said to be a comodule algebra if it is an H -comodule, which structure map is a map of algebras, i.e. there is a coassociative algebra map $\delta : A \longrightarrow A \otimes H$ compatible with the counit of H :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\delta} & A \otimes H \\ \delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta \otimes id \\ A \otimes H & \xrightarrow{id \otimes \Delta} & A \otimes H \otimes H \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\delta} & A \otimes H \\ & \searrow & \downarrow id \otimes \epsilon \\ & & A \end{array}$$

Above, Δ stands for the comultiplication of H and ϵ is the counit of H . The set

$$A^{co H} := \{a \in A : \delta(a) = a \otimes 1\}$$

is a subalgebra of A and is called subalgebra of coinvariants.

Definition 3.4 (H -extension and Q -Galois extension). Let H be a Hopf algebra, $Q \in \text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H)$ and let A be an H -comodule algebra with the subalgebra of coinvariants B . An intermediate extension of the form $A^{co Q} \subseteq A$ will be called an intermediate H -extension. The poset of all intermediate H -extensions will be denoted as $\text{Sub}_{H\text{-ext}}(B \subseteq A)$. An intermediate H -extension $A^{co Q} \subseteq A$ will be called Q -Galois if the canonical map:

$$\text{can}_Q : A \otimes_{A^{co Q}} A \longrightarrow A \otimes Q$$

is a bijection. The subposet of $\text{Sub}_{H\text{-ext}}(B \subseteq A)$ consisting of all Q -Galois extensions will be denoted by $\text{Sub}_{Q\text{-Galois}}(B \subseteq A)$.

Theorem 3.5 (Galois Theory for H -extensions). Let H be a Hopf algebra over a field k and A be an H -comodule algebra then there exists a Galois connection between complete lattices:

$$\text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \xleftarrow{\psi} \end{array} \text{Sub}_{\text{alg}}(A/A^{co H}) \quad (10)$$

where $\phi(Q) = A^{co Q}$.

The map ψ is unique what follows from general statement on Galois connections (Proposition 1.3 (3)). Applying Proposition 1.3 (2) we obtain that $\phi(\text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H))$ and $\psi(\text{Sub}_{\text{alg}}(A^{co H} \subseteq A))$ are dually isomorphic posets. Note, that the above statement can be proved in more general context of coactions of coalgebras.

To prove Galois Theory we will use the following existence theorem for Galois connections.

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose that P, Q are posets and moreover P is complete. Then antimonotonic function $\phi : P \longrightarrow Q$ is part of a Galois connection if and only if it reflects all suprema into infima.*

The above theorem is a special case of the P.J. Freyd characterisation theorem of adjoint functors [?, Theorem 2, p.121]. The pair of maps $(\phi, \psi) : P \rightleftarrows Q$ is a Galois connection if and only if $(\phi, \psi) : P \rightleftarrows Q^{op}$ is a covariant adjunction where the category structure is given by: the relation $p' \geq p$ is thought as a morphism from p' to p .

For more on Galois connections we refer to ?, where among other results the above theorem is proved². Now we are ready to prove Theorem 3.5.

Proof. By Theorem 3.6, it is enough to show that the map $\phi : Q \longmapsto A^{coQ}$ reverses suprema, i.e.

$$A^{co \bigvee_{i \in I} Q_i} = \bigcap_{i \in I} A^{co Q_i}$$

From the set of inequalities: $\bigvee_{i \in I} Q_i \geq Q_j$ ($j \in I$) it follows that

$$A^{co \bigvee_{i \in I} Q_i} \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} A^{co Q_i}$$

Fix an element $a \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A^{co Q_i}$. We let I_i denote the coideal and right ideal such that $Q_i = H/I_i$. Then we can write the following

$$\forall_{i \in I} a \in A^{co Q_i} \Leftrightarrow \forall_{i \in I} \delta(a) - a \otimes 1 \in A \otimes I_i \Leftrightarrow \delta(a) - a \otimes 1 \in A \otimes \bigcap_{i \in I} I_i \Leftrightarrow a \in A^{co \bigvee_{i \in I} Q_i}$$

The equivalence in the middle holds because $\bigcap_{i \in I} A \otimes I_i = A \otimes \bigcap_{i \in I} I_i$ what we show below:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{i \in I} A \otimes I_i \ni \sum_{l=1}^n a_l \otimes b_l &\Leftrightarrow \forall_{i \in I} \sum_{l=1}^n a_l \otimes b_l \in A \otimes I_i \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall_{i \in I} \forall_{l=1, \dots, n} b_l \in I_i \\ &\Leftrightarrow \sum_{l=1}^n a_l \otimes b_l \in A \otimes \bigcap_{i \in I} I_i \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that if $\delta(a) - a \otimes 1 \in A \otimes \bigcap_{i \in I} I_i$ then $\delta(a) - a \otimes 1 \in A \otimes \bigwedge_{i \in I} I_i$. We proceed in three steps, first we prove this for $A = H$, then for $A \otimes H$ and then for any H -comodule algebra A . For $A = H$ this is equivalent to the existence of the Galois connection (2). The case $A \otimes H$: let $x = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \otimes h_k \in A \otimes H$ be such that $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \otimes \Delta(h_k) - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \otimes h_k \otimes 1_H \in A \otimes H \otimes \bigcap_{i \in I} I_i$. Then we can choose a_i such that they are linearly independent. Then by a choice of a complement of the span of $\{a_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ we get $a_i^* : A \longrightarrow k$ such that $a_i^*(a_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $a_i^*(a_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Using a_i^* we can reduce the question to the previous case. For general case, observe that if $a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)} - a \otimes 1 \in A \otimes \bigcap_{i \in I} I_i$ then $a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} - a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)} \otimes 1 \in A \otimes H \otimes \bigcap_{i \in I} I_i$, thus by the previous case $a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} - a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)} \otimes 1 \in A \otimes H \otimes \bigwedge_{i \in I} I_i$. Computing $id_A \otimes \epsilon \otimes id_H$ we get $\delta(a) - a \otimes 1 \in A \otimes \bigwedge_{i \in I} I_i$. \square

Note that the map: ψ of the above Galois connection may have be defined, by the following formula:

$$\psi(A') = \bigvee \{Q \in \text{Quot}_{gen}(H) : A' \subseteq A^{coQ}\} \quad (11)$$

²See nLab entry for the modern view on adjoint functor theorem: <http://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/adjoint+functor+theorem> or the classical [?, p. 95].

4 Closed elements of Galois connection for Hopf–Galois extensions

In this section we show which elements of $\text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H)$ are closed in the Galois connection (10). The importance of this theorem lies in the fact that closed elements of $\text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H)$ classifies elements of $\text{Sub}_{H\text{-ext}}(A/B)$. Our main result of this section states that $Q \in \text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H)$ is closed whenever A/A^{coQ} is Q -Galois. It follows that if H is finite dimensional then every generalised quotient is closed provided A/A^{coH} has surjective (thus bijective) canonical map. As a corollary we obtain a bijective correspondence between $\text{Sub}_{H\text{-ext}}(A/B)$ and $\text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H)$ for finite dimensional Hopf algebras.

Proposition 4.1. ³ *Let A be an H -comodule algebra (both A and H can be infinite dimensional) with surjective canonical map and let A be a Q_1 -Galois and a Q_2 -Galois extension where $Q_1, Q_2 \in \text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H)$. Then the following implication holds:*

$$A^{coQ_1} = A^{coQ_2} \Rightarrow Q_1 = Q_2$$

Proof. Let $B = A^{coQ_1} = A^{coQ_2}$ then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & A \otimes Q_1 & \\
 & & & \uparrow \text{id} \otimes \pi_1 & \\
 & & A \otimes Q_1 & \xrightarrow{\text{can}_{Q_1}} & A \otimes H \\
 A \otimes_B A & \xleftarrow{\quad} & A \otimes_{A^{coH}} A & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} & A \otimes H \\
 & & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \pi_2 & \\
 & & & A \otimes Q_2 & \\
 & & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \pi_2 & \\
 & & & A \otimes Q_2 &
 \end{array}$$

The maps can_{Q_1} and can_{Q_2} are isomorphisms. Let $f := (\text{can}_{Q_1} \circ \text{can}_{Q_2}^{-1}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \pi_2)$, $g := (\text{id} \otimes \pi_1)$. By commutativity of the above diagram, $f \circ \text{can}$ and $g \circ \text{can}$ are equal. Moreover, surjectivity of can yields the equality $(\text{can}_{Q_1} \circ \text{can}_{Q_2}^{-1}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \pi_2) = (\text{id} \otimes \pi_1)$. It follows that there exists $\pi : Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$ such that $\text{can}_1 \circ \text{can}_2^{-1} = \text{id} \otimes \pi$ and $\pi \circ \pi_2 = \pi_1$. Furthermore, π is right H -linear and colinear, thus $Q_2 \succeq Q_1$. In the same way we prove that $Q_1 \succeq Q_2$ (take $\text{can}_2 \circ \text{can}_1^{-1}$ instead of $\text{can}_1 \circ \text{can}_2^{-1}$); because \succeq is an order (antisymmetry) we get $Q_1 = Q_2$. \square

Proposition 4.2. *If A is an H -comodule algebra with epimorphic canonical map (both A and H can be infinite dimensional) then every $Q \in \text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H)$ for which $A^{coQ} \subseteq A$ is a Q -Galois extension is a closed element of the Galois connection (10).*

Proof. Fix A^{coQ} for some $Q \in \text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H)$. Then $\phi^{-1}(A^{coQ})$ is a non-empty upper-sublattice of $\text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H)$ (i.e. it is a subset closed under finite suprema) which has the greatest element, namely $\tilde{Q} = \psi(A^{coQ})$. This follows from Theorem 3.5 and properties of Galois connections. The generalised quotient \tilde{Q} is the only closed element belonging to $\phi^{-1}(A^{coQ})$. Both $Q \leq \psi(A^{coQ})$ and the observation that $A^{coQ} \subseteq A$ is Q -Galois imply that \tilde{Q} is also such. We have the commutative diagram:

³We would like to thank P. Hajac for his insight which helped to prove this proposition.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \otimes_B A & \xrightarrow{\text{can}_H} & A \otimes H \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A \otimes_{A^{\text{co} \tilde{Q}}} A & \xrightarrow{\text{can}_{\tilde{Q}}} & A \otimes \tilde{Q} \\
\downarrow = & & \downarrow \\
A \otimes_{A^{\text{co} Q}} A & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\text{can}_Q} & A \otimes Q
\end{array}$$

From the lower commutative square we get that $\text{can}_{\tilde{Q}}$ is a monomorphism and from the upper commutative square one can deduce that $\text{can}_{\tilde{Q}}$ is onto. Unless $\tilde{Q} = Q$ we get a contradiction with Proposition 4.1. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let $B \in \text{Sub}_{\text{alg}}(A/A^{\text{co} H})$ and $Q \in \text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H)$ be such that:*

1. $B \subseteq A^{\text{co} Q}$, and $\text{can} : A \otimes_B A \rightarrow A \otimes Q$ is bijective,
2. A is right or left faithfully flat over B ,

then, by [?, Remark 1.2] $B = A^{\text{co} Q}$ and thus $A/A^{\text{co} Q}$ is a Q -Galois extension. It follows that B and Q are the corresponding closed elements.

?, Corollary 3.3 give conditions under which an extension ${}^{\text{co} Q} A \subseteq A$ is a Q -Galois and thus when it is a closed element of the Galois connection (10). Let us cite it here:

Proposition 4.4 (?, Corollary 3.3). *Let H be a Hopf algebra over a ring k with bijective antipode and let A be an H -comodule algebra with epimorphic canonical map. Let $Q \in \text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H)$, then it follows that in each of the case $A/A^{\text{co} Q}$ is Q -Galois and A is a projective left $A^{\text{co} Q}$ -module:*

- (1) k is a field and H is finite dimensional,
- (2) H is finitely generated projective over k , coflat as a right Q -comodule, and the surjection $H \rightarrow Q$ splits as a left Q -comodule map,
- (3) H has enough right integrals, is coflat as a right Q -comodule, and the surjection $H \rightarrow Q$ splits as a left Q -comodule map,
- (4) k is a field, H is co-Frobenius, and faithfully coflat both as a left and a right Q -comodule,
- (5) H is Q -cleft and Q is finitely generated projective,
- (6) k is a field, H has cocommutative coradical, and Q is finite dimensional and of the form $Q = H/K^+ H$ for a Hopf subalgebra K of H .

Let us remark that due to ? finite dimensional Hopf algebras have bijective antipode and thus it is not needed to assume it in point (1) above. The following theorem is a generalisation of [?, Theorem 4.7] which we included in the introduction.

Theorem 4.5. *Let H be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra and let $B \subseteq A$ be an H -Hopf-Galois extension. Then every intermediate H -extension is a Q -Galois and there is an anti-isomorphism of posets:*

$$\text{Sub}_{H\text{-ext}}(B \subseteq A) \simeq \text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H)$$

Proof. It follows directly from Proposition 4.2 Proposition 4.4 (1) and Proposition 1.3. \square

Remark 4.6. *It follows that intermediate H -extensions form a complete lattice. Moreover, the poset of Hopf–Galois subextensions is dually isomorphic to the lattice of Hopf algebra quotients of H :*

$$\left\{ A^{co H/I} \subseteq A : I - \text{Hopf ideal of } H \right\} \simeq \text{Quot}(H).$$

This corollary implies part of the Galois Theory for finite field extensions (the injectivity of the map $\text{Fix} : \text{Sub}(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F})) \longrightarrow \text{Sub}(\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F})$). The main result in finite Hopf–Galois theory – Theorem 4.5, shows that there is bijective correspondence with all H -subextensions. The map ψ constructed as an adjunction of $Q \xrightarrow{\phi} A^{co Q}$ is not given by an explicit formula in terms of the Hopf algebra structure (it can be expressed using the order structure, see (11)). However, in some important cases: the finite Galois theory, the $k \subseteq H$ H -Hopf–Galois extension and cleft extensions we will see an explicit Hopf algebraic formula.

In [?, Theorem 2.3] there is proved an extension of Chase–Sweedler theorem for commutative algebras which are comodule algebras over finite dimensional Hopf algebra. Theorem 4.5 extends this result to non-commutative algebras.

5 Chase–Sweedler Theorem

As a direct consequence of Theorem 3.5 we get the Chase–Sweedler Theorem for finite dimensional Hopf algebras.

Definition 5.1. *Let H be a Hopf algebra over k . A k -algebra A is an H -module algebra if it is an H -module satisfying the following identity:*

$$h(a_1 a_2) = h_{(0)}(a_1) h_{(1)}(a_2)$$

The subalgebra of invariants is defined as $A^H := \{a \in A : \forall h \in H h a = \epsilon(h) a\}$.

Let A/B be an extension of k -algebras and A be an H -module algebra. It will be called Hopf–Galois extension if and only if the canonical map

$$\text{can} : A \otimes_B A \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(H, A), \quad a_1 \otimes_B a_2 \mapsto (h \mapsto a_1 h a_2)$$

is a bijection.

Theorem ([?, Chase–Sweedler]). *Let A/B be a Galois extension such that it is a Hopf–Galois extension under an action of a finite cocommutative Hopf algebra H . Then the following map is injective and inclusion reversing:*

$$\text{Sub}_{\text{Hopf}}(H) \longrightarrow \text{Sub}_{\text{field}}(A \subseteq B), \quad H \mapsto A^H$$

This theorem follows from Theorem 4.5 and the bijective correspondence between right H -comodule structures and left H^* -module structures on A , assuming that H is finitely dimensional (see [?, Proposition 6.2.4]). Note that Chase and Sweedler proved this for algebras over rings. Furthermore, they classified H -extensions.

In [?, Theorem 2.3] there is proved an extension of Chase–Sweedler theorem for commutative algebras which are comodule algebras over finite dimensional Hopf algebra. Theorem 4.5 also extends this result to non-commutative algebras.

6 The H/k - H -extension

In the case of an H -Hopf–Galois extension $k \subseteq H$ we show that Theorem 3.5 generalises M. Takeuchi’s [?, Theorem 3], A. Masuoka’s [?, Theorem 1.11] and H.-J. Schneider’s [?, Theorem 1.4] results. They provide a bijection between some (normal) Hopf ideals and some (conormal) Hopf subalgebras of a given Hopf algebra. Below we cite these theorems merged together. H.-J. Schneider added the normality/conormality condition to the A. Masuoka result, who generalised the commutative case considered by M. Takeuchi. The definition of normal Hopf ideals and normal Hopf subalgebras can be found in [?, Definition 1.1].

Theorem 6.1. *Let H be a Hopf algebra. Then*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{right coideal} \\ K \subseteq H : K \text{ - subalgebra} \\ H \text{ f. flat over } K \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\psi} \\ \xleftarrow{\phi} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{left ideal coideal} \\ H/I : I \text{ - } \\ H \text{ f. coflat over } H/I \end{array} \right\} \quad (12)$$

$$\psi(K) = H/HK^+, \quad \phi(H/I) := {}^{\text{co}}H/I H$$

are inverse bijections. They restricts to normal/conormal elements:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{normal sub-Hopf} \\ K \subseteq H : K \text{ - algebra} \\ H \text{ f. flat over } K \end{array} \right\} \simeq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{normal Hopf ideal} \\ H/I : I \text{ - } \\ H \text{ f. coflat over } H/I \end{array} \right\} \quad (13)$$

In [?, Theorem 3.10] shows that for a k -flat Hopf algebra H the above bijective correspondence restricts to (left, right) admissible objects (Definition 8.2) of right and left hand sides. We present the previous theorem in the same way as it was originally stated, however in this paper we work in a dual setting than H.-J. Schneider and M. Takeuchi:

$$\text{right/left coideal subalgebras} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \text{quotients left/right module coalgebras}$$

All the results are true in both cases. We switch to the convention of H.-J. Schneider and M. Takeuchi.

Definition 6.2. *We let $\text{Sub}_{\text{gen}}(H)$ denote the poset of right coideals subalgebras of a Hopf algebra H .*

If H is commutative then right coideal subalgebras over which H is faithfully flat correspond to quotients of $\text{Spec}(H)$ by an affine closed subgroup scheme (thus the quotient is affine and a transitive $\text{Spec}(H)$ -set). The poset $\text{Sub}_{\text{gen}}(H)$ has all infima, hence it has a unique structure of a complete lattice.

Proposition 6.3 (?, Proposition 1). *Let H be a Hopf algebra and I its left ideal coideal. Then ${}^{\text{co}}H/I H$ is a right coideal subalgebra of H . Let K be a right coideal subalgebra of H . Then HK^+ is a left ideal coideal of H .*

The following example is known (with the exception of point 2), but the arguments are spread in the literature, thus we explain it more carefully.

Example 6.4. *Let H be a Hopf algebra (possibly infinite dimensional) and let K be its right coideal subalgebra. Then ${}^{\text{co}}H/HK^+ H \subseteq H$ is H/HK^+ -Galois. The inverse of*

$$\text{can} : H \otimes_{{}^{\text{co}}H/HK^+ H} H \longrightarrow H/HK^+ \otimes H, \quad x \otimes y \longmapsto \bar{x}_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}y$$

is given by: $\text{can}^{-1}(\bar{x} \otimes y) = x_{(1)} \otimes S(x_{(2)})y$, where \bar{x} is the class of x in H/HK^+ . This map is well defined since K is a subcoalgebra: if $x = hk$, where $k \in K^+$ and $h \in H$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{can}^{-1}(\bar{hk} \otimes y) &= h_{(1)}k_{(1)} \otimes S(k_{(2)})S(h_{(2)})y \\ &= h_{(1)} \otimes k_{(1)}S(k_{(2)})S(h_{(2)})y \quad \text{since } K \subseteq {}^{\text{co}}H/HK^+ H \\ &= h_{(1)} \otimes \epsilon(k)S(h_{(2)})y = 0 \quad \text{since } k \in \ker \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

where the tensor on the left hand side is over ${}^{\text{co}}H/HK^+ H$. Let us show that in fact $K \subseteq {}^{\text{co}}H/HK^+ H$:

$$\delta(k) = \bar{k}_{(1)} \otimes k_{(2)} = \bar{1}\epsilon(k_{(1)}) \otimes k_{(2)} = \bar{1} \otimes k \quad (14)$$

The second equality holds since $k_{(1)} \in K$ and the two maps $K \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi|_K} \\ \xrightarrow{k \mapsto \bar{1}\epsilon(k)} \end{array} H/HK^+$ have the same kernels equal K^+ . Furthermore, $K = k1 + K^+$ and both maps have the same value on 1 thus they are equal. Moreover, $K = {}^{\text{co}}H/HK^+ H$ in the following two cases:

1. H is left or right faithfully flat over K [see ?, Remark 1.2],
2. H is finite dimensional: by [?, Thm. 6.1(ii)] H is free over K thus faithfully flat, now use (1).
3. Moreover, in these two cases, if K is a normal Hopf subalgebra then $HK^+ = K^+H$ is a normal Hopf ideal, and if I is a normal Hopf ideal then ${}^{\text{co}H/I}H = H^{\text{co}H/I}$ is a normal Hopf subalgebra what was originally proved by H.-J. Schneider in [?, Lemma 1.3] to show (13).

In the second case flatness is necessary as it shows the following example which we cite after ? (but used rather for different purposes):

Example 6.5. Let F_1 be the free group with one generator g and M_1 its free submonoid generated by g . Then the Hopf algebra $H = k[F_1]$ and its coideal subalgebra $K = k[M_1]$ are such that $HK^+ = HH^+$, then the above implies that $H = K$ which is false but $k[F_1]$ is not faithfully flat over $k[M_1]$: $k[\mathbb{Z}_n] \otimes_{k[M_1]} k[F_1] = 0$, where \mathbb{Z}_n is the group of integers modulo n .

The two cases of the preceding Theorem lead us to two new results which positively answers the question raised by ?: is correspondence (12) a bijection without extra assumptions? The above example gives a negative answer to this question, however if H is finite dimensional over a field then (12) is a bijection without faithful flat/coflat assumptions, because they are satisfied for every subobject.

Theorem 6.6. Let H be a Hopf algebra over a field k . Then $k \subseteq H$ is an H -Hopf-Galois extension and there exists a Galois connection:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} K \subseteq H : K \text{ - right coideal subalgebra} \\ =: \text{Sub}_{\text{gen}}(H) \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\psi} \\ \xleftarrow{\phi} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} H/I : I \text{ - left ideal coideal} \\ =: \text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H) \end{array} \right\} \quad (15)$$

where $(\phi(Q) = {}^{\text{co}Q}H, \psi(K) = H/HK^+)$ is the Galois connection⁴ obtained in Theorem 3.5. Moreover, this Galois correspondence restricts to normal elements:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} K \subseteq H : K \text{ - normal Hopf subalgebra} \\ =: \text{Sub}_{\text{nHopf}}(H) \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\psi} \\ \xleftarrow{\phi} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} H/I : I \text{ - normal Hopf ideal} \\ =: \text{Quot}_{\text{normal}}(H) \end{array} \right\}$$

We claim that:

- (1) $K \in \text{Sub}_{\text{gen}}(H)$ such, that H is faithfully flat over K , is a closed element of the Galois connection (15).
- (2) $Q \in \text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H)$ such that H is faithfully coflat over Q is a closed element of the Galois connection (15).
- (3) if H is finite dimensional then ϕ and ψ are inverse bijections.

The above theorem we put it here for the sake of completeness. In the proof we use Proposition 4.1, which might be avoided using P.Schauenburg results (see [?, Theorem 3.10]). Point (1) gives an alternative proof of the A. Masuoka's part of Theorem 6.1 observing that H is faithfully flat over a right coideal subalgebra K if and only if H is faithfully coflat over H/HK^+ (for Hopf algebra H over a field k). We refer to [?, Proposition 4.5] for the proof of this observation. Point (3) uses the S. Skryabin result [?, Theorem 6.1]. We will also see that the presented method will shed more light in the infinite dimensional case of S. Montgomery question.

⁴Here we changed one side of the Galois connection comparing to Theorem 3.5 but it doesn't make a difference.

Proof. Proposition 6.3 shows that both maps ϕ and ψ are well defined. Equation (14) shows that $K \subseteq \phi\psi(K) = {}^{coH/HK^+}H$ thus to obtain that (ϕ, ψ) is a Galois connection it remains to prove that $H/I \leq \psi\phi(H/I) = H/H({}^{coH/I}H)^+$, i.e. $I \supseteq H({}^{coH/I}H)^+$. Let $x \in ({}^{coH/I}H)^+$ then

$$\Delta(x) = x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} = 1 \otimes x + \sum_k i_k \otimes x_k$$

where $i_k \in I$, $x_k \in H$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 1\epsilon(x) + \sum_k i_k \epsilon(x_k) \\ &= \sum_k i_k \epsilon(x_k) \in I \end{aligned} \quad \text{since } x \in \ker \epsilon$$

This Galois connection is the same as (10) in Theorem 3.5, because of the uniqueness of Galois maps (Proposition 1.3 (3)). The minor difference is the codomain of ϕ : here it is $\text{Sub}_{gen}(H)$ rather than $\text{Sub}_{alg}(k \subseteq H)$ as in Theorem 3.5 according to the case $A = H$. The map ϕ restricts to normal elements as shown by ?. In the case of finite dimensional Hopf algebras every Q -extension $H^{coQ} \subseteq H$ is Q -Galois (Proposition 4.4). From Proposition 4.2 we get that ϕ is a monomorphism and so by general properties of Galois connections:

$$\psi \circ \phi(Q) = H/H({}^{coQ}H)^+ = Q$$

Moreover, in any of the two cases: H is finite dimensional or faithfully flat over K , we have

$$\phi \circ \psi(K) = {}^{coH/HK^+}H = K$$

Thus in fact K is closed element of the Galois connection. Point (2) follows from Theorem 6.1. \square

In this setting we can prove inverse of Proposition 4.2, and thus obtain a full characterisation of closed elements of $\text{Quot}_{gen}(H)$.

Proposition 6.7. *Let H be a Hopf algebra. Then $Q \in \text{Quot}_{gen}(H)$ is a closed element of the Galois connection (15) if and only if $H/{}^{coQ}H$ is a Hopf-Galois extension.*

Proof. It is enough to show that if Q is closed then ${}^{coQ}H \subseteq H$ is an H -Hopf-Galois. If Q is closed then $Q = H/H({}^{coQ}H)^+$. One can show that for any $K \in \text{Sub}_{gen}(H)$ the following map is an isomorphism:

$$H \otimes_K H \longrightarrow H/HK^+ \otimes H, \quad h \otimes k \longmapsto h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}k \quad (16)$$

Its inverse is given by $H/HK^+ \otimes H \ni \bar{h} \otimes k \longmapsto h_{(1)} \otimes S(h_{(2)})k \in H \otimes_K H$ which is well defined because $\Delta(K) \subseteq K \otimes H$. Plugging $K = {}^{coQ}H$ to equation (16) we observe that this map is the canonical map of Q . Thus $H/{}^{coQ}H$ is a Q -Galois extension. \square

Combining the above, Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 1.3(4) we get:

Corollary 6.8. *Let H be a finite Hopf algebra. Then every $Q \in \text{Quot}_{gen}(H)$ is Q -Galois.*

Furthermore, the following proposition holds:

Proposition 6.9. *Let H be a Hopf algebra. Then there is a bijective correspondence:*

$$\left\{ K \subseteq H : K \text{ - right coideal subalgebra} \right\} \xLeftrightarrow{\cong} \left\{ H/I : I \text{ - left ideal coideal} \right\}$$

if and only if

1. for every its generalised quotient Q the extension ${}^{coQ}H \subseteq H$ is Q -Galois
2. ${}^{coH/K^+}H \subseteq K$ for every right coideal subalgebra K of H .

Proof. The pair of maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sub}_{gen}(H) & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\psi} \\ \xleftarrow{\phi} \end{array} & \text{Quot}_{gen}(H) \\ & & \\ & \begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{\psi} & H/HK^+ \\ {}^{coQ}H & \xleftarrow{\phi} & Q \end{array} & \end{array}$$

is a Galois connection. The Proposition 4.1 and the above result shows that ϕ is a monomorphism and thus by the Galois property $\psi\phi = id$. In the presence of a Galois correspondence, the equality $\phi\psi = id$ is equivalent to the inclusion ${}^{coH/K^+}H \subseteq K$. \square

7 Cleft extensions

Let us introduce cleft extensions:

Definition 7.1. An H -extension A/B is called *cleft* if there exists a convolution invertible H -comodule map $\gamma : H \rightarrow A$.

Here we give definition of the normal basis property for H -extensions.

Definition 7.2. Let $B \subseteq A$ be an H -extension. Then it has the *normal basis property* if and only if A is isomorphic to $B \otimes_k H$ as left B -module and right H -comodule.

?, Example 8.2.2 shows that an extension of fields has the classical normal basis property if and only if it has the above property. There is a characterisation of cleft extensions due to Y. Doi and M. Takeuchi ([see also ?]):

Theorem 7.3 (?). Let $B \subseteq A$ be an H -extension. Then it is cleft if and only if it is a Hopf–Galois extension with normal basis property.

Theorem 6.6 together with Proposition 6.7 yields the following result.

Theorem 7.4. Let A/B be an H -cleft extension. Then an element Q of $\text{Quot}_{gen}(H)$ is closed in the Galois connection (10) if and only if the extension A/A^{coQ} is Q -Galois. When H is finite dimensional then there is a bijective correspondence:

$$\text{Sub}_{H-ext}(A) \simeq \text{Quot}_{gen}(H)$$

The normality condition can be added to both sides as it is done in Theorem 6.6. The first part is a consequence of Takeuchi characterisation of cleft extensions, the formula $\psi(B \otimes K) = H/K^+H$ for $B \otimes K \subseteq A = B \otimes H$, where K is right ideal subalgebra of H and Proposition 6.7. The bijection is a direct consequence of properties of Galois connections and Theorem 6.6(3).

8 BiGalois extensions

In this section we show that (left, right) admissible quotients of H and $L(A, H)$ classifies the same subextensions of A .

In ? there is constructed a Hopf algebra $L(A, H)$ for a given $k \subseteq A$ H -Hopf–Galois extension with the property that $k \subseteq A$ is $(L(A, H), H)$ -biGalois extension. This construction extends the one given by ? to the non-commutative case. The Hopf algebra $L(A, H)$ is unique up to isomorphism. Its underlying algebra is $(A \otimes A)^{coH}$ (under the codiagonal coaction of H on $A \otimes A$), [see ?, Theorem 3.5] for more details. We only include here relevant parts of the whole Galois theory based on this additional Hopf algebra. For closer acknowledgement we refer the reader to the papers of ??? and also the work of ?.

Definition 8.1. We call A/k a L - H -biGalois extension if A is left L comodule algebra, A/k is a left L -Hopf-Galois extension and A is a right H -comodule algebra such that A/k is a right H -Hopf-Galois extension. Moreover, A is supposed to be L - H -bicomodule so that both coactions commute.

Let us define notions which plays an important role in a Galois connection between $\text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(L)$ and $\text{Sub}_{\text{alg}^H}(A)$ – the complete lattice of H -subcomodule algebras of A .

Definition 8.2. Fix a coalgebra C and its quotient coalgebra C/I where I is an coideal of C . The quotient coalgebra C/I is right (left) admissible if it is flat over k (thus faithfully flat) and C is right (left) faithfully coflat over C/I . We call a coideal I of C right (left) admissible if C/I is. A bialgebra or Hopf algebra quotient is admissible if it is admissible as a coalgebra.

A subalgebra B of A is right (left) admissible if A is faithfully flat over B as right (left) module. In both cases admissible will mean left and right admissible.

We refer to [?] for more on admissibility of subalgebras and quotients.

Proposition 8.3 ([?, Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.6]). Let A/k be a faithfully flat $L(H, A)$ - H -biGalois extension of a ring k . Then there exists a Galois connection:

$$\text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(L) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathcal{I}} \end{array} \text{Sub}_{\text{alg}^H}(A)$$

such that $\mathcal{F}(L/I) = {}^{\text{co}}L/I$ and $\mathcal{I}(B) = (A \otimes_B A)^{\text{co}H}$. If in addition the antipodes of H and $L(H, A)$ are bijective then admissible objects are closed elements of the Galois connection $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{I})$. The bijection between closed objects restricts to the admissible objects.

It is shown in [?, Corollary 3.6] that the antipode of $L(A, H)$ is bijective if the antipode of H is bijective and A/k is faithfully flat.

Let us denote by A^{op} the opposite algebra to an algebra A (the underlying vector space of A^{op} is the same as A but the multiplication is precomposed with the flip of tensor factors subsequently denoted by τ). An opposite bialgebra B^{op} has opposite multiplication and comultiplication (i.e. $\Delta^{\text{op}} := \tau \circ \Delta$, $\mu^{\text{op}} = \mu \circ \tau$). The opposite bialgebra of a Hopf algebra is a Hopf algebra if and only if the antipode is bijective. Then $S^{\text{op}} = S^{-1}$.

Theorem 8.4. Let H be a Hopf algebra over a field k with bijective antipode. Let $A/A^{\text{co}H}$ be a faithfully flat H -Hopf-Galois extension. Then the map of the Galois connection (1) $\phi : \text{Quot}_{\text{gen}}(H) \longrightarrow \text{Sub}_{\text{alg}}(A/A^{\text{co}H})$ $Q \mapsto A^{\text{co}Q}$ is injective on the set of (right, left) admissible quotients of H and its image is in the set of (right, left) admissible subalgebras of A .

Proof. If Q is left admissible (H is faithfully coflat as left Q -comodule) then by [?, Remark 1.4 (2)] A is a Q -Galois extension. Moreover, A is faithfully flat as a left $A^{\text{co}Q}$ -module by [?, Theorem 1.4(2)] and thus $\phi(Q) = A^{\text{co}Q}$ is left admissible. Now if Q is right admissible then Q^{op} is left admissible for H^{op} (A^{op} is a left H^{op} -Galois extension) and by the same reasoning as in [?, Theorem 1.4 (2)] we get that A^{op} is a left faithfully flat Q^{op} -Galois extension. Then A is a right faithfully flat Q -Galois extension. Therefore, $A^{\text{co}Q}$ is right admissible. By Proposition 4.1 the map ϕ is injective on the set of (right, left) admissible quotients of H . \square

Corollary 8.5. Let $k \subseteq A$ be a $L(H, A)$ - H -biGalois extension of a field k , where H is a Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode. The last two results show:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{l} \text{(left, right) admissible} \\ \text{quotients of } L(H, A) \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \begin{array}{l} \text{(left, right) admissible} \\ H\text{-comodule subalgebras of } A \end{array} \\ & & \cap \\ \begin{array}{l} \text{(left, right) admissible} \\ \text{quotients of } H \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \begin{array}{l} \text{(left, right) admissible} \\ \text{subalgebras of } A \end{array} \end{array}$$

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank T. Brzeziński for reading our manuscript and giving his comments and advices.